arXiv:1410.6772v1 [math.NA] 24 Oct 2014

NOTE ON THE COVERING THEOREM FOR COMPLEX POLYNOMIALS

ALEXEY SOLYANIK

In this note we will give the answer to the questions posed in [D1] and [D2] concerning covering properties of complex polynomials. These questions attract our attention when we study stability properties of dynamical systems stabilized by the feedback control. It was discovered recently [DH], that these properties play the central role in the matter.

We start with the simple observation on the stable polynomials. From this observation we deduce the variant of Koebe One-Quarter Theorem for complex polynomials and from the last statement we obtain the sharp constant in the Theorem 1 from [D1] (Theorem 3.8 in [D2])

Let $\Delta = \{z\colon |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk and $\bar{\Delta}$ its closure.

Let $\chi(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots a_n z^n$ be a given polynomial of degree at most n. We would like to define it n-inverse by the formula

$$\chi^*(z) = a_n + a_{n-1} z + \dots + a_0 z^n \tag{1}$$

Since $\chi^*(z) = z^n \chi(1/z)$, polynomial $\chi^*(z)$ "inverse" the ranges $\chi(\Delta)$ and $\chi(\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta})$.

We shall use this definition even in the case when $a_n = 0$. For example the 4-inverse of the polynomial z is z^3 and vice versa.

So defined n-inversion is an *involution* on the set of polynomials of degree at most n, i. e. $\chi^{**}(z) = \chi(z)$.

The problem of *stability* of polynomial $\chi(z)$, which means, that all roots of $\chi(z)$ lie in Δ, is equivalent to the problem of the description of the *image* of $\bar{\Delta}$ by the map χ^* .

Indeed, if *all* zeros of some polynomial $\chi(z)$ lie in the disc Δ, then no one lies outside, which simply means, that $0 \notin \chi^*(\bar{\Delta})$.

To be more precise we write the last observation like a lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $\chi(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots a_n z^n$ be a polynomial with $a_n \neq 0$. Then*

$$\text{all zeros of } \chi(z) \text{ lie in } \Delta \tag{2}$$

if and only if

$$0 \notin \chi^*(\bar{\Delta}) \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $\chi(z) = a_n z^m (z - z_{m+1}) \dots (z - z_n)$, where $0 \leq m < n$ (the case $m = n$ is trivial). Then

$$\chi^*(z) = z^n \chi(1/z) = a_n (1 - z_{m+1} z) \dots (1 - z_n z) \tag{4}$$

.

Date: 24 October 2014 .

Thus, from (2) we conclude that all zeros of $\chi^*(z)$ lie outside of $\bar{\Delta}$, which implies (3). On the other hand, if (3) holds, then (4) implies (2). □

The statement of lemma 1 is also holds if we change Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$. We shall state here corresponding lemma in a little different (inversion) form and without proof, which is exactly the same.

Lemma 2. *Let $\chi(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots a_n z^n$ be a polynomial with $a_0 \neq 0$. Then,*

$$0 \notin \chi(\Delta) \tag{5}$$

if and only if

$$\text{all zeros of } \chi^*(z) \quad \text{lie in} \quad \bar{\Delta} \tag{6}$$

It is a well known phenomenon in Geometric Function Theory, that some *restrictions on the range $f(\Delta)$* implies *estimates of Taylor coefficients of f*.

For example, for

$$f(z) = z + c_2 z^2 + \dots + c_k z^k + \dots$$

defined in the unit disk Δ : if f maps Δ *into* the half-plane $\{z : \Re z > -1/2\}$ then $|c_k| \leq 1$ (Caratheodori) and if the function is schlicht, i.e. maps Δ in one to one way to the simply connected domain, then $|c_k| \leq k$ (de Brange).

The simplest proposition, which reflect this phenomenon (for complex polynomials) is the following

Lemma 3. *Let $q(z) = \hat{q}(1)z + \hat{q}(2)z^2 + \dots + \hat{q}(n)z^n$ and*

$$w \notin q(\Delta). \tag{7}$$

Then

$$|\hat{q}(k)| \leq \binom{n}{k} |w| \tag{8}$$

Proof. Let $\chi(z) = q(z) - w$ and $\chi^*(z) = \hat{q}(n) + \hat{q}(n-1)z + \dots + \hat{q}(1)z^{n-1} - wz^n$ is it n-inverse. Then, $0 \notin \chi(\Delta)$ and, according to lemma 2, all roots of $\chi^*(z) = -w(z-\zeta_1)\dots(z-\zeta_n)$ lie in $\bar{\Delta}$. Hence, by Vieta's formulas,

$$|\hat{q}(k)| = |\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots i_k \leq n} (-1)^{k+1} w \zeta_{i_1} \zeta_{i_2} \dots \zeta_{i_k}| \leq |w| \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots i_k \leq n} 1 = \binom{n}{k} |w|$$

The estimates (8) are the best possible, since for the polynomial $q(z) = w - w(1-z)^n$ point $w \notin q(\Delta)$, but $|\hat{q}(k)| = \binom{n}{k}|w|$. □

Define the *norm* of $q(z) = \hat{q}(0) + \hat{q}(1)z + \dots \hat{q}(n)z^n$ by the formula

$$n(q) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \frac{|\hat{q}(k)|}{\binom{n}{k}}$$

Denote $\Delta_r(z_0) = \{z \colon |z - z_0| < r\}$ and claim, that, because $q : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an open mapping, the range $q(\Delta_r(z_0))$ always contains some disk $\Delta_\epsilon(q(z_0))$.

It is interesting, that from lemma 3 we can obtain the (sharp) estimate of the radius of this disk and immediately *conclude* that $q(z)$ is an open mapping.

It is clear, that we can suppose that $z_0 = 0$, $q(z_0) = 0$ and $r = 1$.

Corollary 1. *For every polynomial* $q(z) = \hat{q}(1)z + \hat{q}(2)z^2 + \cdots + \hat{q}(n)z^n$ *the range* $q(\Delta)$ *contains the disk of radius* $n(q)$ *with the center at the origin :*

$$\Delta_{n(q)} \subseteq q(\Delta). \tag{9}$$

Proof. Lemma 3 implies $|w| \geq n(q)$ for $w \notin q(\Delta)$ and we have $\Delta_{n(q)} \subseteq q(\Delta)$. □

Since $n(q) \geq 1/n$ for the polynomial q of degree n, such that $q(0) = 0$ and $q'(0) = 1$ we have

Corollary 2. *For every polynomial* $q(z) = z + \ldots q_n z^n$ *the range* $q(\Delta)$ *contains the disk of radius* $1/n$ *with the center at the origin:*

$$\Delta_{1/n} \subseteq q(\Delta) \tag{10}$$

If we apply Corollary 2 to the polynomial

$$\tilde{q}(z) = \frac{1}{R} q(Rz)$$

we can get a slight general version of this assertion which gives the answer to the question posed in [D1] and [D2].

Corollary 3. *For every polynomial* $q(z) = z + \ldots q_n z^n$ *the range* $q(\Delta_R)$ *contains the disk of radius* $\frac{R}{n}$ *centered at the origin :*

$$\Delta_{\frac{R}{n}} \subseteq q(\Delta_R) \tag{11}$$

and

$$\bar{\Delta}_{\frac{R}{n}} \subseteq q(\bar{\Delta}_R) \tag{12}$$

The second statement (12) follows from (11) by the compactness arguments. Example

$$q(z) = \frac{R}{n}((1 + \frac{z}{R})^n - 1)$$

shows that the size of the circle is the best possible.

Corollary 3 implies the sharp constant in Theorem 1 from [D1] .

Theorem 1. *For every polynomial* $p(z) = p_0 + p_1 z + \cdots + p_n z^n$ *and any points* z_1 *and* z_2 *there exist point* ζ*, such that* $p(\zeta) = p(z_2)$ *and*

$$|p(z_1) - p(z_2)| \geq \frac{1}{n}|p'(z_1)||z_1 - \zeta| \tag{13}$$

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{p'(z_1)}(p(z_1) - p(z_1 - z))$$

Thus $q(0) = 0$ and $q'(0) = 1$. For any point z there is a point η, such that $q(z) = q(\eta)$ and

$$|q(z)| \geq \frac{1}{n}|\eta| \tag{14}$$

To prove this, denote $w = q(z)$ and define $R = n|w|$ for $w \neq 0$ (if $w = 0$ there is nothing to prove). We have $w \in \bar{\Delta}_{\frac{R}{n}}$ and Corollary 3 implies that there is $\eta \in \bar{\Delta}_R$, such that $w = q(z) = q(\eta)$.

Now, for the given z_2 define $z = z_1 - z_2$, apply (14) and choose $\zeta = z_1 - \eta$.

□

References

[DH] D. V. Dmitrishin, A. D. Hamitova, *Methods of Harmonic Analysis in Control of Nonlinear Discrete Systems*, Compt. Rend. Math., 351(2013), 357-370..

[D1] V. N. Dubinin, *On the finite-increment theorem for complex polynomials*, Math. Notes, 88:5 (2010), 647-654.

[D2] V. N. Dubinin, *Methods of geometric function theory in classical and modern problems for polynomials*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 67(4) (2012), 599 - 684.

E-mail address: transbunker@gmail.com